J. Korean Math. Soc. **42** (2005), No. 1, pp. 101–110

REMARKS ON THE KKM PROPERTY FOR OPEN-VALUED MULTIMAPS ON GENERALIZED CONVEX SPACES

Hoonjoo Kim* and Sehie Park

Abstract. Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space and Y a Hausdor space. Then $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y) \subset \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{O}(X, Y)$, where $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa$ is an admissible class (due to Park) and $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{O}$ denotes the class of multimaps having the KKM property for open-valued multimaps. This new result is used to obtain a KKM type theorem, matching theorems, a xed point theorem, and a coincidence theorem.

1. Introduction

The KKM theory of generalized convex spaces (or G–convex spaces) has been developed mainly by the second author and followed by a number of other authors; for the literature, see the references at the end of the present paper.

In this paper, our main aims are to improve one of our earlier results [11, Theorem 11] and to obtain some of its applications. In fact, let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space, Y a Hausdor space, and $G : D \multimap Y$ an open-valued multimap. If an admissible map $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$ (due to Park [2-4]) satises $F(\ _A) \subset G(A)$ for all nite subset A of D, then the family $\{G(z)\}_{z \in D}$ of values of G has the nite intersection property. In [11], this was proved under the restriction that Y is T_1 and regular.

Section 2 deals with preliminaries taken from a recent work of the second author [9]. In Section 3, we prove our main result and apply it to obtain a generalized form of a KKM theorem, matching theorems for closed [resp. open] valued multimaps, a xed point theorem, and a coincidence theorem.

Received August 11, 2003.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classication: 47H10, 54H25, 55M20.
Key words and phrases: KKM principle, generalized convex (G-convex) spaces, -convex subsets, multimaps having the KKM property.
*This work was supported by grant No. KMS 2001-02 from the KOSEF.

2. The KKM theorem for G-convex spaces

A *multimap* (or simply, a *map*) $F : X \multimap Y$ is a function from a set X into the power set of Y; that is, a function with the *values* $F(x) \subset Y$ for $x \in X$ and the *fibers* $F^{-}(y) := \{x \in X \,|\, y \in F(x)\}$ for $y \in Y$. For $A \subset X$, let $F(A) := \bigcup\{F(x) \,|\, x \in A\}$. Throughout this paper, we assume that multimaps have nonempty values otherwise explicitly stated or obvious from the context.

For topological spaces X and Y, a multimap $F : X \multimap Y$ is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) [resp. *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.)] if for each closed [resp. open] set $B \subset Y$, $F^{-}(B) := \{x \in X \,|\, F(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed [resp. open] in X.

Let $\langle D \rangle$ denote the set of all nonempty nite subsets of a set D.

A *generalized convex space* or a *G-convex space* $(X, D;)$ consists of a topological space X, a nonempty set D, and a multimap $: \langle D \rangle \multimap X$ such that for each $A \in \langle D \rangle$ with its cardinal $|A| = n + 1$, there exists a continuous function $\phi_A : \ _n \to \ _A := (A)$ such that $J \in \langle A \rangle$ implies $\phi_A(\ _J) \subset \ _J := (J)$. In certain cases, we may assume $\phi_A(\ _n) = \ _A$.

Note that $_n$ is an n-simplex with vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$, and $_J$ the face of $_n$ corresponding to $J \in \langle A \rangle$; that is, if $A = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $J = \{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_k}\} \subset A$, then $_J = \text{co}\{v_{i_0}, v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k}\}$.

In case to emphasize $X \supset D$, $(X, D;)$ will be denoted by $(X \supset D;)$.

For a G-convex space $(X \supset D;)$, a subset $Y \subset X$ is said to be - *convex* if for each $N \in \langle D \rangle$, $N \subset Y$ implies $_N \subset Y$.

Examples of G-convex spaces can be found in [5, 6, 8] and references therein.

For a G-convex space $(X, D;)$, a multimap $F : D \multimap X$ is called a *KKM map* if

$$_N \subset F(N) \qquad \text{for each } N \in \langle D \rangle.$$

The following is a KKM theorem for G-convex spaces [5, 6]:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space and $F : D \multimap X$ a map such that*

(1) *F has closed* [*resp. open*] *values*; *and*

(2) *F is a KKM map.*

Then $\{F(z)\}_{z \in D}$ has the nite intersection property.

Further, if

(3) *$\bigcap_{z \in M} \overline{F(z)}$ is compact for some $M \in \langle D \rangle$,*

then we have

$$\bigcap_{z \in D} \overline{F(z)} \neq \emptyset.$$

Remark. There have appeared several variations of Theorem 2.1; see [6, 12].

Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space and Y a topological space. A multimap $F : X \multimap Y$ is said to have *the KKM property* if, for any map $G : D \multimap Y$ with closed [resp. open] values satisfying

$$F(\ _A) \subset G(A) \qquad \text{for all} \quad A \in \langle D \rangle,$$

the family $\{G(z)\}_{z \in D}$ has the nite intersection property. We denote

$$\mathfrak{K}(X, Y) := \{F : X \multimap Y \mid F \ \text{ has the KKM property}\}.$$

Some authors use the notation $KKM(X, Y)$. Note that $1_X \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$ by Theorem 2.1. Moreover, if $F : X \to Y$ is a continuous single-valued map or if $F : X \multimap Y$ has a continuous selection, then it is easy to check that $F \in \mathfrak{K}(X, Y)$. Note that there are many known selection theorems due to Michael and others.

From now on, $\mathfrak{KC}$ denote S the class $\mathfrak{K}$ for closed-valued maps G, and $\mathfrak{KO}$ for open-valued maps G.

From Theorem 2.1, we derived the following basic coincidence theorem in [7]:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space, Y a topological space, $S : D \multimap Y$, $T : X \multimap Y$, and $F \in \mathfrak{KC}(X, Y)$. Suppose that*

(1) *S has open values*;
(2) *for each $y \in F(X)$, $M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle$ implies $\ _M \subset T^-(y)$; and*
(3) *$\overline{F(X)} \subset S(N)$ for some $N \in \langle D \rangle$.*

Then F and T have a coincidence point $x_* \in X$; that is, $F(x_*) \cap T(x_*) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.2 is applied in [7] to the Fan–Browder theorem, -spaces, and ω-connected spaces.

Similarly, for the class $\mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$, we have the following basic coincidence theorem in [9]:

Theorem 2.2′. *Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space, Y a topological space, $S : D \multimap Y$, $T : X \multimap Y$, and $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$. Suppose that*

(1) *S has closed values*;
(2) *for each $y \in F(X)$, $M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle$ implies $\ _M \subset T^-(y)$; and*
(3) *$Y = S(N)$ for some $N \in \langle D \rangle$.*

Then F and T have a coincidence point $x_* \in X$; that is, $F(x_*) \cap T(x_*) \neq \emptyset$.

REMARK. It would be possible to replace the class $\mathfrak{K}$ in this paper by the so-called S-KKM class introduced by some authors. However, we will not do this in the present paper.

By putting $X = Y$ and $F = 1_X$ in Theorems 2.2 and 2.2′, we have a general form of the Fan–Browder theorem for G-convex spaces:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $(X, D;)$ be a G-convex space, and $S : D \multimap X$, $T : X \multimap X$ two maps satisfying*

(1) *for each $z \in D$, $S(z)$ is open [resp. closed];*
(2) *for each $y \in X$, $M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle$ implies $_M \subset T^-(y)$; and*
(3) *$X = S(N)$ for some $N \in \langle D \rangle$.*

Then T has a xed point $x_0 \in X$; that is, $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

Theorem 2.3 is obtained in [7] and applied to various forms of the Fan–Browder theorem, the Ky Fan intersection theorem, and the Nash equilibrium theorem for G-convex spaces.

From Theorem 2.3, we deduced the following in [9]:

THEOREM 2.3′. *Let $(X \supset D;)$ be a G-convex space and $A : X \multimap X$ be a multimap such that $A(x)$ is -convex for each $x \in X$. If there exist $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \in D$ and nonempty open [resp. closed] subsets $G_i \subset A^-(z_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$, then A has a xed point.*

A *polytope* is a nite dimensional compact convex subset of a t.v.s. Let X and Y be topological spaces. An *admissible class* $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$ of maps $T : X \multimap Y$ is one such that, for each compact subset K of X, there exists a map $S \in \mathfrak{A}_c(K, Y)$ satisfying $S(x) \subset T(x)$ for all $x \in K$; where $\mathfrak{A}_c$ is consisting of nite composites of maps in $\mathfrak{A}$, and $\mathfrak{A}$ is a class of maps satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\mathfrak{A}$ contains the class $\mathbb{C}$ of (single-valued) continuous functions;
(ii) each $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and compact-valued; and
(iii) for each polytope P, each $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c(P, P)$ has a xed point, where the intermediate spaces of composites are suitably chosen for each $\mathfrak{A}$.

Examples of $\mathfrak{A}$ are continuous functions $\mathbb{C}$, the Kakutani maps $\mathbb{K}$ (with convex values and codomains are convex spaces), the Aronszajn maps $\mathbb{M}$ (with R_δ values), the acyclic maps $\mathbb{V}$ (with acyclic values), the Powers maps $\mathbb{V}_c$, the O'Neil maps $\mathbb{N}$ (continuous with values of one or m acyclic components, where m is xed), the approachable maps $\mathbb{A}$ (whose domains and codomains are subsets of uniform spaces), admissible maps of Grniewicz, σ-selectional maps of Haddad and Lasry, permissible maps of Dzedzej, and others. Further, $\mathbb{K}_c^+$ due to Lassonde, $\mathbb{V}_c^+$ due to Park *et al.*, and approximable maps $\mathbb{A}^\kappa$ due to Ben-El-Mechaiekh and Idzik are examples of $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa$. For the literature, see [2-4]. Many other careless authors mistook $\mathfrak{A}$ for $\mathcal{U}$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $(X, D; \ )$ be a G-convex space and Y a Hausdor space. Then $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y) \subset \mathfrak{KC}(X, Y)$.*

This is given as [11, Corollary]. In the same paper, we showed that $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y) \subset \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$ whenever Y is T_1 regular [11, Theorem 11] in view of the following [11, Lemma]:

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $(X, D; \ )$ be a G-convex space, $|D| = n + 1$, Y a regular space, and $F : X \multimap Y$ a compact-valued u.s.c. map. If $G : D \multimap Y$ is an open-valued map such that*

(1) *for each $J \in \langle D \rangle$, $F(\ _J) \subset G(J)$ [or $F(\phi_D(\ _J)) \subset G(J)$];*

then there is a closed-valued map $H : D \multimap Y$ such that $H(x) \subset G(x)$ for all $x \in D$; and

(2) *$F(\phi_D(\ _J)) \subset H(J)$ for each $J \subset D$;*

where $\ _J$ is the face of $\ _n$ corresponding to J and $\phi_D : \ _n \to \ _D$ a continuous map such that $\phi_D(\ _J) \subset \ _J$.

In [11], it was assumed thoroughly that $D \subset X$, which is now redundant in our present denition of $(\ X, D; \ )$.

3. Main results

Now we show that regularity of Y in [11, Theorem 11] can be eliminated, or that $\mathfrak{KC}$ can be replaced by $\mathfrak{KO}$ in Lemma 2.4, as follows:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(X, D; \ )$ be a G-convex space and Y a Hausdor space. Then $\mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y) \subset \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$ and $G : D \multimap Y$ an open-valued multimap satisfying $F(\ _A) \subset G(A)$ for all $A \in \langle D \rangle$. Let A have $n+1$ elements. Then $\phi_A(\ _n) \subset \ _A$, where $\phi_A : \ _n \to \ _A$ is a continuous function such that $J \in \langle A \rangle$ implies $\phi_A(\ _J) \subset \ _J$ in the denition of G-convex spaces. Since $\phi_A(\ _n)$ is compact and $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$, there exists a map $F' \in \mathfrak{A}_c(\phi_A(\ _n), Y)$ such that $F'(x) \subset F(x)$ for $x \in \phi_A(\ _n)$. Note that

$F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n)) \subset F(\ \ _A) \subset G(A)$. Since F' is u.s.c. and compact-valued, $F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n))$ is Hausdor and compact, hence is normal and regular. Moreover,

$$F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n)) \subset \bigcup_{a\in A} G(a) \cap F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n)) = G'(A),$$

where $G' : A \multimap F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n))$ is dened by $G'(a) := G(a) \cap F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n))$ for all $a \in A$. Note that G' has (relatively) open values such that, for each $J \in \langle A \rangle$,

$$F'(\phi_A(\ \ _J)) \subset F(\ \ _J) \subset G(J) \Rightarrow F'(\phi_A(\ \ _J)) \subset G'(J).$$

Dene a map $\ ' : \langle A \rangle \multimap \phi_A(\ \ _n)$ by $\ '(J) := \phi_A(\ \ _J)$ for each $J \in \langle A \rangle$. Then $(\phi_A(\ \ _n), A;\ \ ')$ is a G-convex space. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, there is a closed-valued map $H : A \multimap F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n))$ such that $H(a) \subset G'(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and

$$F'(\phi_A(\ \ _J)) \subset H(J) \text{ for each } J \in \langle A \rangle.$$

Note that $F'\phi_A \in \mathfrak{A}_c(\ \ _n, F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n))) \subset \mathfrak{KC}(\ \ _n, F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n)))$ and hence, by the denition of the class $\mathfrak{KC}$, $\{H(a)\}_{a\in A}$ has the nite intersection property. Since

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{a\in A} H(a) \subset \bigcap_{a\in A} G'(a) = [\bigcap_{a\in A} G(a)] \cap F'(\phi_A(\ \ _n)),$$

we conclude that $\bigcap_{a\in A} G(a) \neq \emptyset$. Since $A \in \langle D \rangle$ is arbitrary, $\{G(z)\}_{z\in D}$ has the nite intersection property. Therefore, we conclude that $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$. □

Theorem 3.1 can be restated as follows:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let* $(X, D;\ )$ *be a* G*-convex space,* Y *a Hausdor space,* $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$*, and* $G : D \multimap Y$ *such that*

(1) *for each* $x \in D$*,* $G(x)$ *is open*; *and*
(2) *for any* $N \in \langle D \rangle$*,* $F(\ \ _N) \subset G(N)$*.*

Then $\{G(x) \mid x \in D\}$ *has the nite intersection property.*

Theorem 3.2 improves [11, Theorem 11], where Y is assumed to be T_1 and regular.

Some of other results in earlier works of the authors mentioned in the end of [11, Theorem 11] can be also improved. For example, a KKM type theorem [10, Theorem 6] can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X, D;\ )$ be a G-convex space, $A \in \langle D \rangle$, Y a topological space, $G : A \multimap Y$ a map, and $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$. Suppose that*

(1) *for each $x \in A$, $G(x)$ is open in Y; and*
(2) *for any $N \in \langle A \rangle$, $F(\ _N) \subset G(N)$.*

Then $F(\ _A) \cap \bigcap\{G(a) \mid a \in A\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose the conclusion does not hold. Then $F(\ _A) \subset S(A)$ where $S(x) = F(\ _A)\backslash G(x)$ for $x \in A$. Dene a map $\ ' : A \multimap\ _A$ by $\ '(J) :=\ _J \cap\ _A$ for each $J \in \langle A \rangle$, then $(\ _A, A;\ ')$ is a G-convex space. Then conditions (1) and (3) in Theorem 2.2$'$ are satised for $((\ _A, A;\ '), F(\ _A))$ instead of $((X, D;\ ),\ Y)$. Let $H : F(\ _A) \multimap\ _A$ and $T :\ _A \multimap F(\ _A)$ be dened by $H(y) := \bigcup\{\ '_M \mid M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle\}$ for $y \in F(\ _A)$ and $T(x) := H^-(x)$ for $x \in\ _A$. Then (2) in Theorem 2.2$'$ is satised, hence T and F have a coincidence point $x_0 \in\ _A$; that is, $T(x_0) \cap F(x_0) \neq \emptyset$. For $y \in T(x_0) \cap F(x_0)$, we have $x_0 \in T^-(y) = \bigcup\{\ '_M \mid M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle\}$, and hence there exists a nite set $M \subset S^-(y) \subset A$ such that $x_0 \in\ '_M$. Since $M \in \langle S^-(y) \rangle$ implies $y \in S(x)$ for all $x \in M$, we have $y \in F(x_0) \cap \bigcap\{S(x) \mid x \in M\}$. Therefore, $\emptyset \neq F(\ '_M) \cap \bigcap\{S(x) \mid x \in M\} \subset F(\ _M) \cap \bigcap\{S(x) \mid x \in M\}$; that is, $F(\ _M) \not\subset G(M)$. This contradicts (2). This completes our proof. □

The following is a matching theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(X, D;\ )$ be a G-convex space, Y a topological space, $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$, and $A \in \langle D \rangle$. Let $S : A \multimap Y$ be a map such that*

(1) *S has closed values; and*
(2) *$S(A) = Y$.*

Then there exists a $B \in \langle A \rangle$ such that $F(\ _B) \cap \bigcap\{S(b) \mid b \in B\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion does not hold. Dene a map $G : A \multimap Y$ by $G(a) := Y \backslash S(a)$ for $a \in A$. Then each $G(a)$ is open and for each $B \in \langle A \rangle$,

$$F(\ _B) \subset \bigcup_{b \in B} Y \backslash S(b) = \bigcup_{b \in B} G(b) = G(B).$$

Since $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$, the family $\{G(a)\}_{a \in A}$ has the nite intersection property. Therefore $\bigcap\{G(a) \mid a \in A\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence $S(A) \neq Y$, a contradiction. □

Remark. A particular form of Theorem 3.4 is given by Balaj [1, Lemma 1].

We have another matching theorem:

Theorem 3.4′. *Let $(X, D; \ )$ be a G-convex space, Y a topological space, $F \in \mathfrak{KC}(X, Y)$, and $A \in \langle D\rangle$. Let $T : A \multimap Y$ be a map such that*

(1) *T has open values; and*
(2) *$T(A) = Y$.*

Then there exists $B \in \langle A\rangle$ such that $F(\ _B) \cap \bigcap\{T(b) \,|\, b \in B\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion does not hold. Dene a map $G : A \multimap Y$ by $G(a) := Y \backslash T(a)$ for $a \in A$. Then each $G(a)$ is closed and, for each $B \in \langle A\rangle$,

$$F(\ _B) \subset \bigcup_{b\in B} Y \backslash T(b) = \bigcup_{b\in B} G(b) = G(B).$$

Since $F \in \mathfrak{KC}(X, Y)$, the family $\{G(a)\}_{a\in A}$ has the nite intersection property. Therefore, $\bigcap\{G(a) \,|\, a \in A\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence $T(A) \neq Y$, a contradiction. □

Remark. Note that Theorem 3.4′ generalizes a result of Balaj [1, Lemma 7], which is a particular case of Theorem 3.4′ for $F \in \mathfrak{A}^{\kappa}_{c}(X, Y)$, $X = D = Y$ and $F = 1_X$.

The following is a new type of xed point theorems:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(X, D; \ )$ be a G-convex space, Y a topological space, $F \in \mathfrak{KO}(X, Y)$, and $A \in \langle D\rangle$. Let $G : A \multimap Y$ and $T : Y \multimap Y$ be two maps. Suppose that*

(1) *$F(\ _B) \subset G(B)$ for each $B \in \langle A\rangle$;*
(2) *for each $y \in Y$, $T(y) \supset G(x)$ for some $x \in A$; and*
(3) *for each $z \in G(A)$, $T^-(z)$ is closed.*

Then T has a xed point.

Proof. Dene $S : A \multimap Y$ by

$$S(x) := \{y \in Y \,|\, G(x) \subset T(y)\} \text{ for } x \in A.$$

Then

$$S(x) = \{y \in Y \,|\; y \in T^-(z) \text{ for all } z \in G(x)\} = \bigcap_{z\in G(x)} T^-(z)$$

and hence each $S(x)$ is closed by (3). Moreover, for each $y \in Y$, there is an $x \in A$ such that $G(x) \subset T(y)$ by (2), and hence $y \in S(x)$. This shows $Y = S(A)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4, there exist a $B \in \langle A \rangle$ and a $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_0 \in F(\ _B) \subset G(B)$ and $y_0 \in S(b)$ for all $b \in B$. This implies $G(B) \subset T(y_0)$ and hence $y_0 \in F(\ _B) \subset G(B) \subset T(y_0)$. □

Remark. Note that Balaj [1, Theorem 2] is Theorem 3.5 for a T_1 regular space Y and $F \in \mathfrak{A}_c^\kappa(X, Y)$. From Theorem 3.5, we can also improve [1, Theorems 3, 4, 6 and Corollary 5].

Finally, in this section, we give a simple proof of the following generalization of a coincidence theorem in Balaj [1, Theorem 8]:

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(X \supset D; \ )$ be a G-convex space, Z a nonempty set, and $F, T : X \multimap Z$ two maps such that*

(1) *for each $y \in X$, the set $\{x \in X \,|\, F(x) \cap T(y) \neq \emptyset\}$ is -convex;*
(2) *for each $z \in F(X)$, $T^-(z)$ is open; and*
(3) *$X = \bigcup_{x \in N} \{y \in X \,|\, F(x) \cap T(y) \neq \emptyset\}$ for some $N \in \langle D \rangle$.*

Then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $F(x_0) \cap T(x_0) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Dene a map $G : X \multimap X$ by

$$G(y) := \{x \in X \,|\, F(x) \cap T(y) \neq \emptyset\} \text{ for } y \in X.$$

Then each $G(y)$ is -convex. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} G^-(x) &= \{y \in X \,|\, F(x) \cap T(y) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{y \in X \,|\, y \in T^-(z) \text{ for some } z \in F(x)\} \\ &= \bigcup_{z \in F(x)} T^-(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in X$. Then $G^-(x)$ is open as a union of open sets. By (3), $G^-(N) = X$ for some $N \in \langle D \rangle$. Therefore, by the Fan-Browder xed point theorem for a G-convex spaces (Theorems 2.3 and 2.3′), G has a xed point $x_0 \in X$; that is, $F(x_0) \cap T(x_0) \neq \emptyset$. □

Remark. The other results in [1, Theorems 9 and 10] are simple consequences of Theorem 3.6.

We note that our new results may have a large number of particular cases because of the abstract nature of the generalized convex space theory, and the readers could easily nd such cases.

References

[1] M. Balaj, *Applications of two matching theorems in generalized convex spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum **7** (2002), 123–130.

[2] S. Park, *Foundations of the KKM theory via coincidences of composites of upper semicontinuous maps*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (1994), 493–519.

[3] ______, *Coincidence theorems for the better admissible multimaps and their applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **30** (1997), 4183–4191.

[4] ______, *A unified fixed point theory of multimaps on topological vector spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), 803–829. *Corrections*, ibid. **36** (1999), 829–832.

[5] ______, *Elements of the KKM theory for generalized convex spaces*, Korean J. Comput. Appl. Math. **7** (2000), 1–28.

[6] ______, *Remarks on topologies of generalized convex spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **5** (2000), 67–79.

[7] ______, *New topological versions of the Fan–Browder fixed point theorem*, Nonlinear Anal. **47** (2001), 595–606.

[8] ______, *Fixed point theorems in locally G-convex spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **48** (2002), 869–879.

[9] ______, *Coincidence, almost fixed point, and minimax theorems on generalized convex spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **4** (2003), 151–164.

[10] S. Park and H. Kim, *Coincidences of composites of u.s.c. maps on H-spaces and applications*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1995), 251–264.

[11] ______, *Foundations of the KKM theory on generalized convex spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **209** (1997), 551–571.

[12] S. Park and W. Lee, *A unified approach to generalized KKM maps in generalized convex spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **2** (2001), 157–166.

Hoonjoo Kim
Department of Mathematics Education
Daebul University
Young-Am 526-890, Korea
E-mail: hoonjoo@mail.daebul.ac.kr

Sehie Park
National Academy of Sciences
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail: shpark@math.snu.ac.kr