

# AN ALTERNATIVE PRINCIPLE FOR CONNECTED ORDERED SPACES

SEHIE PARK

Seoul National University (Seoul 151–742, Korea)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [R1], B. Ricceri considered spaces admitting a continuous bijection onto  $[0, 1]$  (simply, we will call them  $[0, 1]$ -spaces) and, based on a new alternative principle for multifunctions involving  $[0, 1]$ -spaces, obtained new mini-max theorems in full generality and transference. Several further consequences of the principle have been investigated in successive works; see [R2, R3, Ci, CB].

In our previous work [P1], we deduced some fixed point theorems for connected  $[0, 1]$ -spaces from Ricceri's alternative principle. Even though these theorems were consequences of known theorems for an interval  $[a, b]$ , in general, they seem to be quite new. More general theorems for connected ordered spaces were recently obtained in [P2] with a different method.

In the present paper, we are mainly concerned with connected topological spaces which admit continuous bijections onto a connected ordered spaces with two end points. In Section 2, we deduce a Ricceri type alternative principle and fixed point theorems. Section 3 deals with the incorrect proof of Maćkowiak [M, Theorem 3.1], which was the main tool in [C]. In Section 4, we show that our alternative principle is a generalization of Szabó's theorem [S]. Section 5 deals with the coincidence theorems of Charatonik [C]. In fact, we give new and correct proofs based on our principle and the affirmative answer to Charatonik's question.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

## 2. A RICCERI TYPE ALTERNATIVE PRINCIPLE

A multifunction  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a function from  $X$  into the power set of  $Y$  with nonempty values, and  $x \in T^{-1}(y)$  if and only if  $y \in T(x)$ .

For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , a multifunction  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be *closed* if its graph  $\text{Gr}(T) = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in T(x)\}$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ , and *compact* if the closure  $\overline{T(X)}$  of its range  $T(X)$  is compact in  $Y$ .

A multifunction  $T : X \multimap Y$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) if for each closed set  $B \subset Y$ , the set  $T^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ ; *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.) if for each open set  $B \subset Y$ , the set  $T^{-1}(B)$  is open; and *continuous* if it is u.s.c. and l.s.c. Note that every u.s.c. multifunction  $T$  with closed values is closed.

We need the following [H, Theorem 3.1]:

**Lemma.** *Let  $\Gamma : X \multimap Y$  be l.s.c. (or u.s.c.). Suppose that  $C \subset X$  is connected and that  $\Gamma(x)$  is connected for all  $x \in C$ . Then the image of  $C$  under  $\Gamma$  is connected.*

A linearly ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  is called an *ordered space* if it has the order topology whose subbase consists of all sets of the form  $\{x \in X : x < s\}$  and  $\{x \in X : x > s\}$  for  $s \in X$ . Note that an ordered space  $X$  is connected iff it is Dedekind complete (that is, every subset of  $X$  having an upper bound has a supremum) and whenever  $x < y$  in  $X$ , then  $x < z < y$  for some  $z$  in  $X$ ; for details, see Willard [Wi].

We give some examples of connected ordered space  $X$  with two end points:

(a) Connected  $[0, 1]$ -spaces; that is, connected spaces admitting a continuous bijection onto the unit interval; see [R1], [P1].

(b) An *arc* is a homeomorphic image of the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . A *generalized arc* is a continuum (not necessarily metrizable) having exactly two non-cut points. It is well-known that a generalized arc is an arc if and only if it is metrizable. It is known [W] that cut points are used to define a natural order on a connected set, and that any generalized arc admits a natural linear order which will be denoted by  $\leq$ .

A connected ordered space with two end points  $a, b$  with  $a < b$  will be denoted by  $[a, b]$ .

Motivated by Ricceri [R1], we obtain the following alternative principle:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a connected topological space, and  $Y$  a topological space admitting a continuous bijection onto a connected ordered space  $[a, b]$ . Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be maps satisfying one of the following two conditions:*

- (i)  *$F, G$  are l.s.c. with connected values;*
- (ii)  *$F, G$  are u.s.c. with compact connected values.*

*Under such assumptions, at least one of the following two assertions does hold:*

- (a)  *$F(X) \neq Y$  and  $G(X) \neq Y$ .*
- (b) *There exists some  $\tilde{x} \in X$  such that  $F(\tilde{x}) \cap G(\tilde{x}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous bijection from  $Y$  onto a connected ordered space  $[a, b]$ . Suppose that  $F(x) \cap G(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $F(X) = Y$ . Let

$$H(x) = \varphi(F(x)) \times \varphi(G(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

We claim that  $H(X)$  is connected.

Case (i). The multifunctions  $\varphi \circ F$  and  $\varphi \circ G$  are l.s.c. with connected values. It is easily checked that  $H$  is l.s.c.; see [B].

Case (ii). The multifunctions  $\varphi \circ F$  and  $\varphi \circ G$  are u.s.c. with compact connected values. Then  $H$  is u.s.c. with compact connected values; here, the compact-valuedness of  $\varphi \circ F$  and  $\varphi \circ G$  are essential in order to assure the u.s.c. of  $H$ ; see [B].

Then, by Lemma,  $H(X)$  is connected in any case.

Now we show that  $H(X)$  is also disconnected: Let  $A, B \subset [a, b] \times [a, b]$  such that

$$A := \{(s, t) : s < t\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{(s, t) : s > t\}.$$

Then  $A$  and  $B$  are open and disjoint, and we clearly have

$$H(X) \subset A \cup B.$$

Choose  $x_a, x_b \in X$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(a) \in F(x_a)$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(b) \in F(x_b)$ . Pick  $y_a \in G(x_a)$  and  $y_b \in G(x_b)$ . Then we have  $\varphi(y_a) > a$ ; for, otherwise, we would have  $F(x_a) \cap G(x_a) \neq \emptyset$ . Likewise, we have  $\varphi(y_b) < b$ .

Consequently,

$$(a, \varphi(y_a)) \in A \cap H(X) \text{ and } (b, \varphi(y_b)) \in B \cap H(X).$$

Then  $H(X)$  becomes the union of two disjoint nonempty open subsets  $A \cap H(X)$  and  $B \cap H(X)$ . This contradicts the connectivity of  $H(X)$ .

*Remarks.* 1. We followed the proof of Ricceri [R1, Theorem 2.1], which is the case of Theorem 1 for a  $[0, 1]$ -space  $Y$ .

2. However, our result is already known by Ricceri, since he noted that his result [R1, Theorem 2.1] is still true if  $[0, 1]$  is replaced by any topological space  $T$  having the following property: there are two open (or closed) subsets  $A, B$  of  $T \times T$  and two points  $s_0, t_0 \in T$  such that  $(T \times T) \setminus \Delta \subset A \cup B$ ,  $A \cap B \subset \Delta$ ,  $\{s_0\} \times (T \setminus \{s_0\}) \subset A$ , and  $\{t_0\} \times (T \setminus \{t_0\}) \subset B$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal of  $T \times T$ .

From Theorem 1, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  a topological space admitting a continuous bijection onto a connected ordered space  $[a, b]$ , and  $S$  a connected subset of  $X \times Y$ . Moreover, let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multifunction which is either l.s.c. with connected values, or u.s.c. with compact connected values. Then, at least one of the following holds:*

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $p_Y(S) \neq Y$  and  $\Phi(p_X(S)) \neq Y$ , where  $p_X$  and  $p_Y$  are projections from  $X \times Y$  to  $X$  and  $Y$ , resp.
- (a<sub>2</sub>) There exists some  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in S$  such that  $\tilde{y} \in \Phi(\tilde{x})$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Define  $F, G : S \rightarrow 2^Y$  by

$$F(x, y) = \{y\} \quad \text{and} \quad G(x, y) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in S.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

*Remark.* For a  $[0, 1]$ -space  $Y$  Theorem 2 reduces to Ricceri [R1, Theorem 2.2].

From Theorems 1 and 2, we deduce the following fixed point theorem on multifunctions:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a connected ordered space with two end points. Then a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  has a fixed point if it satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (I)  *$F$  has connected graph.*
- (II)  *$F$  is l.s.c. with connected values.*
- (III)  *$F$  is u.s.c. with compact connected values.*
- (IV)  *$F(x)$  is connected and  $F^{-1}(y)$  is open for each  $x, y \in X$ .*
- (V)  *$F$  is a closed compact multifunction with connected values.*

*Proof.* (I)-(V) are all simple consequences of Theorems 1 and 2 as follows:

- (I) Theorem 2 with  $X = Y$ ,  $S = \text{Gr}(F)$ , and  $\Phi = id_X$ , the identity map on  $X$ .
- (II) Theorem 1(i) with  $X = Y$  and  $G = id_X$ .
- (III) Theorem 1(ii) with  $X = Y$  and  $G = id_X$ .
- (IV) Since  $F^{-1}(y)$  is open for each  $y \in X$ ,  $F$  is l.s.c. Indeed, for each open set  $\Omega \subset X$ , we have

$$F^{-1}(\Omega) = \{x \in X : F(x) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup_{y \in \Omega} F^{-1}(y)$$

is open. Therefore, (IV) follows from (II).

(V) It is well-known that a closed compact multifunction is u.s.c. with compact values. Therefore, (V) follows from (III).

*Remark.* Theorem 3 was given in [P2] with different proof.

### 3. ON A COINCIDENCE THEOREM OF MAĆKOWIAK

In 1981, Maćkowiak [M] introduced componentwise continuous (c.c.) multifunctions and used them to obtain some fixed point theorems which generalize most known fixed point theorems for trees, dendroids, and  $\lambda$ -dendroids. Moreover, he obtained a coincidence theorem [M, Theorem 3.1] for two c.c. multifunctions from a connected Hausdorff space  $X$  into a generalized arc  $I$ .

For Hausdorff compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be *componentwise continuous* (c.c.) [M] if  $x = \lim\{x_\sigma\}$  implies that

- (a)  $\text{Ls}\{C_\alpha\} \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $C_\alpha$  is a component of  $F(x_\sigma)$  for each  $\sigma$  [ $\text{Ls}\{C_\sigma\}$  is the superior limit of the net  $\{C_\sigma\}$ ]; and
- (b) every component of  $F(x)$  intersects  $\text{Ls}\{F(x_\sigma)\}$ .

In [M], many examples of c.c. multifunctions were given and, among them are

- (1) lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) multifunctions with connected values, and
- (2) upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) multifunctions with closed connected values.

Recall that a *generalized arc* is a continuum which has exactly two non-cut points.

**Theorem M.** [M, Theorem 3.1] *Let c.c. multifunctions  $F$  and  $G$  map a connected space  $X$  into a generalized arc  $I$ . Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $F$  is a surjection with connected values.
- (ii)  $F$  and  $G$  are both surjections.

*Then there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $F(x) \cap G(x) \neq \emptyset$ .*

On the other hand, in an unpublished work of the present author, he tried to apply Theorem M to obtain a common generalization of Theorem M and Ricceri's alternative principle [R1]. However, an excellent referee of that work realized and informed the present author that, unfortunately, the proof of Theorem M is wrong. The referee wrote as follows:

Indeed, using the same notations as in [M], take:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= I = [0, 1], \\ F(x) &= \{x\}, \\ G(x) &= \begin{cases} \{x\} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ [0, 1] & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that both the multifunctions  $F, G$  are upper semicontinuous, with compact and connected values. So, they are c.c. Moreover,  $F$  and  $G$  are both surjections. Hence, all the assumptions of Theorem M are satisfied. Now, consider the set  $A$  introduced in the proof. Namely,

$$A = \{x \in [0, 1] : G(x) \subset [x, 1]\}.$$

In the proof, it is claimed that  $A$  is closed. In the present case, this is not true. Indeed, we clearly have

$$A = [0, 1).$$

Knowing that  $A$  is closed is absolutely necessary in the approach adopted in [M]. Consequently, Theorem M, in the absence of a correct proof, should be considered as a conjecture.

#### 4. GENERALIZATIONS OF SZABÓ'S THEOREM

In 1994, Szabó [S] obtained a coincidence theorem for two continuous multifunctions from a connected space into the set of closed connected subsets of  $[0, 1]$ . Further, he raised a problem how one can generalize his result, in particular for other space than  $[0, 1]$ . In 1997, the present author [P1] and Charatonik [C] gave affirmative solutions to the problem, independently. However, in [C], it was noted that [M, Theorem 3.1] is much stronger than Szabó's theorem.

Let  $X$  be a connected topological space. According to Szabó [S], let  $K[0, 1]$  denote the set of closed connected subsets of  $[0, 1]$ , and a function  $F : X \rightarrow K[0, 1]$  is said to be continuous if each  $F(x)$  for  $x \in X$  is  $[f_0(x), f_1(x)]$  where  $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are continuous.

The following is due to Szabó [S]:

**Theorem S.** Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow K[0, 1]$  be continuous functions and assume that

$$\bigcup_{x \in X} F(x) = [0, 1].$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $F(x_0) \cap G(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ .

Moreover, Szabó [S] raised the following:

**Problem S.** How can we generalize Theorem S for other spaces instead of  $[0, 1]$ ?

In our previous work [P1], we showed that Theorem S is still true if  $[0, 1]$  is replaced by any space  $T$  in Remark 2 of Theorem 1.

More precisely, Theorem 1 generalizes Theorem S and is an affirmative solution of Problem S.

## 5. ON COINCIDENCE THEOREMS OF CHARATONIK

Since any generalized arc admits a natural linear order  $\leq$ , it can be denoted by  $[a, b]$ .

Given a generalized arc  $[a, b]$ , Charatonik [C] denoted by  $K[a, b]$  the set of closed connected subsets of  $[a, b]$ . Thus each nondegenerate element of  $K[a, b]$  is a generalized arc  $[c, d]$  with  $a \leq c < d \leq b$ . Adopting the definition from Szabó [S] to this more general case, Charatonik [C] defined the following:

**Definition C.** Let a generalized arc  $[a, b]$  be fixed. A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow K[a, b]$  is said to be *continuous* provided that if  $F(x) = [f_0(x), f_1(x)]$ , then the function  $f_0 : X \rightarrow [a, b]$  and  $f_1 : X \rightarrow [a, b]$  are continuous.

Then Charatonik [C] obtained the following:



**Statement C.** Let  $X$  be a space and  $Y = [a, b]$  a generalized arc. Then a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow K[a, b] \subset 2^Y$  is continuous (u.s.c. and l.s.c.) if and only if it is continuous in the sense of Definition S.

Note that in the above argument, a generalized arc can be replaced by any connected ordered space with two end points.

Analyzing carefully assumptions of Theorem S, Charatonik [C] showed that, in the light of Statment C, the theorem can be reformulated as follows:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and let multifunctions  $F, G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be given. Assume that*

- (1)  $X$  is connected;
- (2)  $Y$  is a generalized arc (or more generally, a connected ordered space with two end points);
- (3)  $Y$  is metrizable;
- (4)  $F$  is l.s.c.;
- (5)  $F$  is u.s.c.;
- (6)  $F$  has compact values;
- (7)  $F$  has connected values;
- (8)  $F$  is surjective;
- (9)  $G$  is l.s.c.;
- (10)  $G$  is u.s.c.;
- (11)  $G$  has compact values;
- (12)  $G$  has connected values.

*Then*

- (13) *There exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $F(x_0) \cap G(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

Note that we replaced the closedness in (6) and (11) by compactness.

Charatonik [C] formulated the following as a possible generalization of Theorem S (or, equivalently, of Theorem C) for Hausdorff spaces  $X$ :

**Proposition C<sub>1</sub>.** *If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space, then in Theorem C assumptions (3), (5), (6), (10), and (11) can be omitted.*

In the proof of Proposition C<sub>1</sub>, the author applied Theorem M. In view of Section 3 of the present paper, the proof can not be complete.

However, without assuming Hausdorffness of  $X$ , Proposition C<sub>1</sub> follows immediately from Theorem 1(i).

Another modification of Theorem S is the following in [C].

**Proposition C<sub>2</sub>.** *If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space, then in Theorem C assumptions (3), (4), and (9) can be omitted.*

This also follows from Theorem 1(ii) without assuming Hausdorffness of  $X$ .

Therefore, we answered affirmatively to the following raised in [C]:

**Question C.** Can the assumption that the space  $X$  is Hausdorff be omitted in Propositions C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>?

Note that the Hausdorffness in Propositions C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> came from [M, Theorem 3.1] and is not necessary because our proofs are based on Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] C. Berge, *Espaces Topologique — Fonctions Multivoques*, Dunod, Paris, 1959. = *Topological Spaces*, Olyver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1970.
- [C] J. J. Charatonik, *On a fixed point theorem for multifunctions*, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest* **40** (1997), 31–36.
- [Ci] A. Chinni, *Some remarks on a theorem on sets with connected sections*, *Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo* **45** (1996), 5–8.
- [CB] P. Cubiotti and B. di Bella, *A new approach to the existence of zeros for nonlinear operators*, *Le Matematiche* **49** (1994), 205–210.
- [H] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, *Images of connected sets by semicontinuous multifunctions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **111** (1985), 407–422.
- [M] T. Maćkowiak, *The fixed point property for set-valued mappings*, *Colloq. Math.* **45** (1981), 227–243.
- [P1] Sehie Park, *Remarks on fixed point theorems of Ricceri*, *Proc. '97 Workshop on Math. Anal. Appl.*, Pusan Nat. Univ., 1997, pp.1–9.
- [P2] ———, *Fixed point theorems on connected ordered spaces*, to appear.

- [R1] B. Ricceri, *Some topological mini-max theorems via an alternative principle for multi-functions*, Arch. Math. **60** (1993), 367–377.
- [R2] ———, *On some recent topological mini-max theorems*, Le Matematiche **49** (1994), 349–357.
- [R3] ———, *Applications of a theorem concerning sets with connected sections*, Top. Meth. in Nonlinear Analysis **5** (1995), 237–248.
- [S] V. E. S. Szabó, *A fixed point theorem*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest **37** (1994), 197–198.
- [W] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **28**, Providence, 1942 (Reprinted with corrections, 1971).
- [Wi] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970.