

**COMMENTS ON A COINCIDENCE THEOREM
IN GENERALIZED CONVEX SPACES**

BY

SEHIE PARK AND KWON BAE MOON

ABSTRACT. We give a corrected version of the KKM theorem in [2] and a coincidence theorem for two set-valued maps. Moreover, a counter-example of a fixed point result for compact G -convex spaces in [2] is given.

In 1993, the first author [17] introduced the concept of generalized convex spaces (or G -convex spaces) as a far-reaching generalization of various general convexities without linear structure due to a large number of other authors. We established in such a context the foundations of the KKM theory initiated by Knaster, Kuratowski, and Mazurkiewicz [9], as well as fixed point theorems and other results for multimaps; see [11-20, 7]. This direction of study was immediately followed by Tan et al. [2, 22, 23] and other authors.

Especially, in [2], a coincidence theorem for set-valued maps from a compact G -convex space to a uniform space is obtained, generalizing Ky Fan's work [4] on the Tychonoff fixed point theorem to set-valued maps on G -convex spaces. However, unfortunately, there are in [2] some incorrect statements.

The main aim in this paper, we clarify this matter and give some comments. In fact, Theorem 1 is a G -convex space version of the KKM theorem of Ky Fan [4] and Theorem 2 is an abstract version of the coincidence theorems in [2]. Finally, we show the invalidity of [2, Corollary 2.5] by giving a counter-example.

Supported in part by KOSEF-981-0102-013-2.

Key Words and Phrases. coincidence theorem, G -convex space, uniform space, entourage, upper semicontinuous, fixed point, KKM map

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10, 54C25

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

A *generalized convex space* or a *G-convex space* $(X, D; \Gamma)$ consists of a topological space X and a nonempty set D such that for each $A \in \langle D \rangle$ having $n + 1$ elements, there exist a subset $\Gamma(A)$ of X and a continuous function $\phi_A : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ such that $J \in \langle A \rangle$ implies $\phi_A(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma(J)$.

Note that $\langle D \rangle$ denotes the set of all nonempty finite subsets of D , Δ_n the standard n -simplex with vertices e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n , and Δ_J the face of Δ_n corresponding to $J \in \langle A \rangle$; that is, if $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $J = \{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}\} \subset A$, then $\Delta_J = \text{co}\{e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}\}$. We may write $\Gamma_A = \Gamma(A)$ for each $A \in \langle D \rangle$. If $D = X$, then $(X, D; \Gamma)$ will be denoted by (X, Γ) .

In our previous works [11-20], we assumed that D is a nonempty subset of X in the definition of a *G-convex space* $(X, D; \Gamma)$. From now on, we adopt the above definition; that is, we do not assume $D \subset X$.

There are a large number of examples of *G-convex spaces*; see [1, 5, 6, 16-18].

For a *G-convex space* $(X, D; \Gamma)$, a multimap $F : D \multimap X$ is called a *KKM map* if $\Gamma_A \subset F(A)$ for each $A \in \langle D \rangle$.

The following is well-known; see [8-11, 21]:

The KKM Principle. *Let D be the set of vertices of Δ_n and $F : D \multimap \Delta_n$ be a KKM map (that is, $\text{co } A \subset F(A)$ for each $A \in \langle D \rangle$) with closed [resp. open] values. Then $\{F(a)\}_{a \in D}$ has nonempty intersection.*

The following is a KKM theorem for *G-convex spaces*:

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, D; \Gamma)$ be a G-convex space and $F : D \multimap X$ a multimap with nonempty compactly closed [resp. open] values. Suppose that F is a KKM map. Then*

- (i) $\{F(a)\}_{a \in D}$ has the finite intersection property; and
- (ii) if F has compactly closed values and if $\bigcap_{a \in M} F(a)$ is compact for some $M \in \langle D \rangle$, then we have $\bigcap_{a \in D} F(a) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in \langle D \rangle$. Then there exists a continuous function $\phi_A : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma_A$ such that, for any $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, we have

$$\phi_A(\text{co}\{e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}\}) \subset \Gamma(\{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}\}) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n).$$

Since F is a KKM map, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{co}\{e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}\} &\subset \phi_A^{-1}(\Gamma(\{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}\}) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n)) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{j=0}^k \phi_A^{-1}(F(a_{i_j}) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F(a_{i_j}) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n)$ is closed [resp. open] in the compact subset $\phi_A(\Delta_n)$ of Γ_A , $\phi_A^{-1}(F(a_{i_j}) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n))$ is closed [resp. open] in Δ_n . Note that $e_i \dashv\circ \phi_A^{-1}(F(a_i) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n))$ is a KKM map on $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Hence, by the KKM principle, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^n \phi_A^{-1}(F(a_i) \cap \phi_A(\Delta_n)) \neq \emptyset,$$

which readily implies $\bigcap_{i=0}^n F(a_i) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof of (i).

Note that (ii) follows immediately from (i).

Remarks. (a) Theorem 1 is an improved version of [2, Lemma 1.4], where X should be a subset of (Y, Γ) and Y is not necessarily completely regular nor Hausdorff.

(b) A far-reaching generalized form of Theorem 1 is already given by the first author and Kim [18] with a different method.

(c) For $X = \Delta_n$, if D is the set of vertices of Δ_n and $\Gamma = \text{co}$, the convex hull, Theorem 1 reduces to the celebrated KKM principle [9].

(d) If D is a nonempty subset of a topological vector space X (not necessarily Hausdorff), Theorem 1 extends Fan's KKM theorem [4].

Recall that a set-valued map is said to be *compact* if its range is relatively compact.

The following is a coincidence theorem for set-valued maps:

Theorem 2. *Let X be a topological space, Z a Hausdorff uniform space, and $S, T : X \multimap Z$ compact upper semicontinuous maps with nonempty closed values such that*

(1) *for any entourage V of Z ,*

$$\Phi(V) := \{x \in X : (S(x) \times T(x)) \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset;$$

(2) *for some entourage V_0 of Z , $\Phi(V_0)$ is compact, where \bar{V} is the closure of V in $Z \times Z$.*

Then S and T have a coincidence point $\hat{x} \in X$; that is, $S(\hat{x}) \cap T(\hat{x}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be the basis of open entourages of the uniformity of Z . Since S and T are compact upper semicontinuous maps with closed values, by [3, Lemma 3], so is $S \times T$. Therefore, $\Phi(V)$ is closed in X for each $V \in \mathcal{U}$.

For any finite subset $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i \in \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n \Phi(V_i) \supset \Phi\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i\right).$$

Hence, $\{\Phi(V) : V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a family of nonempty closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property. Since $\Phi(V_0)$ is compact, we have an $\hat{x} \in \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \Phi(V)$. Then

$$(S(\hat{x}) \times T(\hat{x})) \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{U}.$$

By [CT, Lemma 2.2], we have $S(\hat{x}) \cap T(\hat{x}) \neq \emptyset$.

Remarks. (a) We modified the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3], which is a consequence of Theorem 2 for the case $X = (X, \Gamma)$ is a compact G -convex space. Its authors assumed some Ky Fan type conditions implying condition (1).

(b) Moreover, it was claimed that the following [2, Corollary 2.5] can be deduced from [2, Theorem 2.3]:

Statement. *Let (X, Γ) be a compact Hausdorff G -convex space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous. Then S has a fixed point in X .*

This is a rather surprising result since it readily implies the validity of the Schauder conjecture: every compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space has the fixed point property. This conjecture is not resolved yet even when the space is metrizable.

The following example shows the invalidity of the statement:

Counter-Example. Let $X = D = [0, 1)$ and $Y = D' = \mathbb{S}^1 = \{e^{2\pi it} : t \in [0, 1)\}$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous bijection defined by $f(t) = e^{2\pi it}$. Define $\Gamma : \langle D' \rangle \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\Gamma(A) = f(\text{co}(f^{-1}(A))) \quad \text{for } A \in \langle D' \rangle.$$

Then $(Y, D'; \Gamma)$ is a compact G -convex space. (More generally, it is shown in [16] that any continuous image of a G -convex space is a G -convex space.) Note that \mathbb{S}^1 lacks the fixed point property.

Moreover, this is an example of a G -convex space $(Y, D; \Gamma)$ satisfying $D \not\subset Y$. In fact, let us define $\Gamma : \langle D \rangle \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\Gamma(A) = f(\text{co } A) \quad \text{for } A \in \langle D \rangle.$$

Therefore, our new G -convex spaces are general than old ones.

In view of Theorem 2, we obtain the following corrected form of the statement:

Corollary 3. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff uniform space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Then f has a fixed point if and only if*

$$(1)' \text{ for any } V \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ Gr}(f) \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset,$$

where \mathcal{U} is the basis of the uniformity of X .

Proof. Note that (1)' is equivalent to (1) for the case $S = f$ and $T = 1_X$.

It is interesting to notice the following:

Corollary 4. *Let X be a compact subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E , and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Then f has a fixed point if and only if*

- (1)" *for any neighborhood V of the origin 0 of E , there exists an $x \in X$ such that $x - f(x) \in V$.*

Finally, for historical background on analytical fixed point theory and the KKM theory, see [11].

Acknowledgement. The author are grateful to the referees for their constructive comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bielawski, *Simplicial convexity and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **127** (1987), 155–171.
- [2] M. P. Chen and K. K. Tan, *A coincidence theorem in G -convex spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **24** (1998), 105–111.
- [3] Ky Fan, *Fixed point and minimax theorems in locally convex linear spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **38** (1952), 121–126.
- [4] ———, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math. Ann. **142** (1961), 305–310.
- [5] C. D. Horvath, *Contractibility and generalized convexity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **156** (1991), 341–357.
- [6] ———, *Extension and selection theorems in topological spaces with a generalized convexity structure*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **2** (1993), 253–269.
- [7] H. Kim, *Fixed point theorems on generalized convex spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), 491–502.
- [8] W. K. Kim, *Some applications of the Kakutani fixed point theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **121** (1987), 119–122.
- [9] B. Knaster, C. Kuratowski und S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n -dimensionale Simplexe*, Fund. Math. **14** (1929), 132–137.
- [10] Sehie Park, *A unified approach to generalizations of the KKM type theorems related to acyclic maps*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. **15** (1994), 105–119.
- [11] ———, *Eighty years of the Brouwer fixed point theorem*, Antipodal Points and Fixed Points (by J. Jaworowski, W. A. Kirk, and S. Park), Lect. Notes Ser. **28**, RIM-GARC, Seoul Nat. Univ., 1995, pp.55–97.
- [12] ———, *Five episodes related to generalized convex spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **2** (1997), 49–61.
- [13] ———, *Another five episodes related to generalized convex spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **3** (1998), 1–12.
- [14] ———, *Remarks on a fixed point problem of Ben-El-Mechaiekh*, Abstracts, NACA'98, Niigata, Japan, July 28–31, 1998.

- [15] ———, *Some topological versions of the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem*, Abstracts, NACA'98, Niigata, Japan, July 28–31, 1998.
- [16] ———, *New subclasses of generalized convex spaces*, Proc. Int. Conf. on Math. Anal. and Appl. Chinju, Korea, **1-A** (1998), 65–72.
- [17] S. Park and H. Kim, *Admissible classes of multifunctions on generalized convex spaces*, Proc. Coll. Natur. Sci. Seoul National University **18** (1993), 1–21.
- [18] ———, *Coincidence theorems for admissible multifunctions on generalized convex spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197** (1996), 173–187.
- [19] ———, *Foundations of the KKM theory on generalized convex spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **209** (1997), 551–571.
- [20] ———, *Generalizations of the KKM type theorems on generalized convex spaces*, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math., **29** (1998), 121–132.
- [21] M.-H. Shih and K.-K. Tan, *Covering theorems of convex sets related to fixed-point theorems*, “Nonlinear and Convex Analysis” (Proc. in honor of Ky Fan) pp.235–244, Marcel dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1987.
- [22] K.-K. Tan, *G-KKM theorem, minimax inequalities and saddle points*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **30** (1997), 4151–4160.
- [23] K.-K. Tan and X.-L. Zhang, *Fixed point theorems on G-convex spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **1** (1996), 1–19.

SEHIE PARK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
SEOUL 151–742, KOREA

KWON BAE MOON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SANG MYUNG UNIVERSITY
SEOUL 110–743, KOREA