

# LERAY-SCHAUDER TYPE THEOREMS AND EQUILIBRIUM EXISTENCE THEOREMS\*

ADAM IDZIK<sup>†</sup> AND SEHIE PARK<sup>‡</sup>

December, 1996

ABSTRACT. We consider two applications of a fixed point theorem due to the first author. First, we extend the Leray-Schauder theorem to topological vector spaces which are not necessarily locally convex. As an application we derive some well-known fixed point theorems. Second, we deduce a variation of the social equilibrium existence theorem of Debreu. This is applied to results on saddle points, minimax theorems, and the Nash equilibria.

*AMS Classification.* Primary 47H04, 47H10, 49A29, 49A40; Secondary 52A07, 54C60.

*Key words and phrases.* topological vector space (t.v.s.), convexly totally bounded (c.t.b.) set, upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) map, fixed point, Berge's theorem, equilibrium point.

---

\*This research was supported by the KBN Grant No.2 P03A 01711 and KOSEF-951-0102-006-2.

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Ordona 21, 01-237 Warsaw, POLAND. *Phone:* (48) (22) 36-28-41. *FAX:* (48) (22) 37-65-64. *Email:* adidzi@ipipan.waw.pl

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, KOREA. *Phone:* (822) 575-3129. *FAX:* (822) 877-8435. *Email:* shpark@math.snu.ac.kr

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, we study two main applications of a fixed point theorem due to the first author [I] related to convexly totally bounded sets. We first extend the Leray-Schauder theorem to topological vector spaces which are not necessarily locally convex. This new result can be used to derive some new or well-known fixed point theorems. Secondly, we deduce a variation of social equilibrium existence theorem of Debreu [D]. This is applied to results on saddle points, minimax theorems, and the Nash equilibria.

All topological vector spaces in this paper are assumed to be real Hausdorff spaces. Given a set  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ . In what follows,  $X$  and  $Y$  are two subsets of two topological vector spaces  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. The boundary, the closure, the interior, and the convex hull of a subset  $X$  of  $E$  are denoted by  $\partial X$ ,  $\overline{X}$ ,  $\text{Int } X$ , and  $\text{co } X$ , respectively. For brevity, locally convex topological vector spaces are called locally convex spaces.

**Definitions 1.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$  be a map.

(1)  $T$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) on  $X$  if the set  $\{x \in X \mid T(x) \subset V\}$  is open in  $X$  whenever  $V$  is an open subset of  $Y$ .

(2)  $T$  is said to be *compact* if  $T(X)$  is relatively compact in  $Y$ .

(3) A set  $K \subset E$  is *convexly totally bounded* (c.t.b. for short), if for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $0 \in E$  there exist a finite subset  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\} \subset K$  ( $|I| < +\infty$ ) and a finite family of convex sets  $\{C_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $C_i \subset V$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (x_i + C_i)$ .

Weber [W] gave another definition of the c.t.b. set:

(4) A set  $K \subset E$  is *convexly totally bounded* (c.t.b. for short), if for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $0 \in E$  there exist a finite subset  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\} \subset E$  ( $|I| < +\infty$ ) and a finite family of convex sets  $\{C_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $C_i \subset V$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (x_i + C_i)$ .

Observe that

**Proposition 1.** *The definitions (3) and (4) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $U, V$  be symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in  $E$  such that  $U + U \subset V$ . We can find  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  ( $|I| < +\infty$ ) such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (x_i + C_i)$ ,  $x_i \in E$ , and  $C_i \subset U$  is convex for  $i \in I$ . Let  $y_i \in K \cap (x_i + C_i)$ . Then  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (y_i + (x_i - y_i + C_i))$  and the convex set  $x_i - y_i + C_i \subset -C_i + C_i \subset U + U \subset V$  for all  $i \in I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *If a compact set  $K$  is c.t.b., then the compact set  $[0, 1]K$  is also c.t.b.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a closed and circled neighborhood of  $0 \in E$ . By definition  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (y_i + (x_i - y_i + C_i))$  for some  $x_i \in E$  and  $C_i \subset V$ ,  $i \in I$  ( $|I| < +\infty$ ). Observe that for each  $r \in [0, 1]$  and  $i \in I$ ,  $r(x_i + C_i) \cap K \subset r(x_i + C_i) \subset r(x_i + V) \subset rx_i + V$ . Furthermore, we have  $[0, 1]K = \bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{C}_i$ , where  $\tilde{C}_i = \bigcup_{r \in [0, 1]} r(x_i + C_i) \cap K$  is a compact set. Thus  $\tilde{C}_i$  is covered by a finite number of sets of the form  $rx_i + V$ , and hence  $\tilde{C}_i \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} (r_j x_i + V)$  where  $r_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $|J| < +\infty$ ,  $i \in I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Every compact subset of a c.t.b. set is c.t.b.  $\square$*

The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 4.3 in [I]:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty convex subset of a t.v.s.  $E$  and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an u.s.c. map with closed convex values. If  $\overline{T(X)}$  is a compact c.t.b. subset of  $X$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ ; that is  $x_0 \in T(x_0)$ .  $\square$*

## 2. The Leray-Schauder type theorems

From Theorem 1, we deduce the following Leray-Schauder type theorem:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a closed subset of a t.v.s.  $E$  such that  $0 \in \text{Int } X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a compact u.s.c. map with closed convex values. If  $\overline{T(X)}$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $E$ , then either

- (1)  $T$  has a fixed point; or
- (2)  $\lambda x \in T(x)$  for some  $\lambda > 1$  and  $x \in \partial X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y \subset X$  be defined by  $Y = \{x \in X \mid x \in tT(x) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$ .  $Y$  is nonempty since  $0 \in Y$ . Moreover, it is closed since  $T$  is u.s.c. and has closed values. Therefore,  $Y$  is compact since  $T$  is compact. Suppose that

$$(LS) \quad T(y) \cap \{\lambda y : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset \text{ for all } y \in \partial X.$$

Then  $Y \cap \partial X = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is completely regular, there exists a continuous function  $r : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $r(x) = 1$  for  $x \in Y$  and  $r(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \partial X$ .

Let  $S : E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$  be defined by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} r(x)T(x) & \text{if } x \in X, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } x \notin X. \end{cases}$$

Then  $S$  is convex-valued. Since  $T$  is compact and closed, so is  $S$ . Moreover,  $\overline{S(E)}$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $E$  as a subset of  $[0, 1]\overline{T(X)}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1,  $S$  has a fixed point. Now  $x \in S(x)$  implies  $x \in Y$  and  $r(x) = 1$ . Therefore,  $x \in X$  and  $x \in T(x)$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

We recall a few definitions:

**Definitions 2.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 in  $E$ :

(1) a set  $K \subset E$  is said to be *locally convex* if for every  $x \in K$  and every  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\text{co}((x + U) \cap K) \subset x + V$ , and

(2) a set  $K \subset E$  is said to be *of  $Z$  type* (see [H]) if for every  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\text{co}(U \cap (K - K)) \subset V$ .

The following are well-known:

**Proposition 4.** *In a locally convex space  $E$  every subset  $K \subset E$  is of  $Z$  type and is a locally convex set.  $\square$*

**Proposition 5.** *If  $K \subset E$  is a compact set which is locally convex or of  $Z$  type, then it is c.t.b.  $\square$*

**Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a closed subset of a t.v.s.  $E$  such that  $0 \in \text{Int } X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a compact u.s.c. map with closed convex values. Suppose that one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $E$  is locally convex,
- (ii) the set  $\overline{T(X)}$  is locally convex,
- (iii) the set  $\overline{T(X)}$  is of  $Z$  type,
- (iv) the set  $\overline{T(X)}$  is c.t.b.

*Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds.*

The case (i) includes many well-known results. Related theorems have been considered recently by Ben-El-Mechaiekh and Idzik [BI], S. Park [P1, P2], and S. Park and J. A. Park [PP].

From Theorem 2 we can obtain a Schaefer type theorem, Birkhoff-Kellog type theorems and a fixed point theorem for non-selfmaps, as in our previous works; see [P1], [P2].

We give only two results as follows:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $E$  be a t.v.s. and  $T : E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a compact u.s.c. map with closed convex values. If  $\overline{T(X)}$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $E$ , then either*

- (1)  $T$  has a fixed point; or
- (2) the set  $\{x \in E : x \in tT(x) \text{ for some } t \in (0, 1)\}$  is not bounded.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a closed convex subset of a t.v.s.  $E$  and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$  is an u.s.c. map with closed convex values such that  $T(\partial X) \subset X$ . If  $\overline{T(X)}$  is a compact c.t.b. subset of  $E$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.  $\square$*

### 3. Equilibrium existence theorems

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of sets, and let  $i \in I$  be fixed. Let

$$X = \prod_{j \in I} X_j \quad \text{and} \quad X^i = \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} X_j.$$

If  $x^i \in X^i$  and  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ , let  $x_j^i$  denote the  $j$ th coordinate of  $x^i$ . If  $x^i \in X^i$  and  $x_i \in X_i$ , let  $[x^i, x_i] \in X$  be defined as follows: Its  $i$ th coordinate is  $x_i$  and, for  $j \neq i$ , its  $j$ th coordinate is  $x_j^i$ . Therefore, any  $x \in X$  can be expressed as  $x = [x^i, x_i]$  for any  $i \in I$ , where  $x^i$  denotes the projection of  $x$  onto  $X^i$ .

For  $A \subset X$ ,  $x^i \in X^i$ , and  $x_i \in X_i$ , let

$$A(x^i) = \{y_i \in X_i \mid [x^i, y_i] \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad A(x_i) = \{y^i \in X^i \mid [y^i, x_i] \in A\}.$$

The following is a collectively fixed point theorem equivalent to Theorem 1:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of convex sets, each in a t.v.s.  $E_i$ ,  $K_i$  a nonempty compact subset of  $X_i$ , and  $T_i : X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K_i)$  an u.s.c. map with closed convex values. If  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $X$ , then there exists an  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $T : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K)$  by  $T(x) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $T$  is a compact u.s.c. map with closed convex values. Since  $\overline{T(X)} \subset K$  is a compact c.t.b. subset of  $X$ , by Theorem 1,  $T$  has a fixed point  $\hat{x} \in K$ ; that is,  $\hat{x} \in T(\hat{x})$  and  $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$ .  $\square$

From Theorem 5, we have the following variation of the social equilibrium existence theorem of Debreu [D]:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $E_i$ ,  $K_i$  be the same as in Theorem 5. Let  $A_i : X^i \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(K_i)$  be u.s.c. maps with closed values, and  $f_i, g_i : \text{Gr}(A_i) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}$  u.s.c. extended real-valued functions for each  $i \in I$ , where  $\text{Gr}(A_i)$  denotes the graph of  $A_i$ . Suppose that

- (1)  $g_i(x) \leq f_i(x)$  for all  $x \in \text{Gr}(A_i)$ ;
- (2)  $\varphi_i(x^i) = \max_{y \in A_i(x^i)} g_i(x^i, y)$  is a l.s.c. function of  $x^i \in X^i$ ; and
- (3) for each  $i \in I$  and  $x^i \in X^i$ , the set

$$M(x^i) = \{x_i \in A_i(x^i) \mid f_i(x^i, x_i) \geq \varphi_i(x^i)\}$$

is convex.

If  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $X$ , then there exists an equilibrium point  $\hat{a} \in \text{Gr}(A_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ ; that is,

$$\hat{a}_i \in A_i(\hat{a}^i) \text{ and } f_i(\hat{a}) = \max_{a_i \in A(\hat{a}^i)} g_i(\hat{a}^i, a_i) \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

*Proof.* For each  $i \in I$ , define a map  $T_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X_i)$  by

$$T_i(x) = \{y \in A_i(x^i) \mid f_i(x^i, y) \geq \varphi_i(x^i)\}$$

for  $x \in X$ . Then  $T_i(x) \neq \emptyset$  by (1) since  $A_i(x^i)$  is compact and  $g_i(x^i, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. on  $A_i(x^i)$ . We show that  $\text{Gr}(T_i)$  is closed in  $X \times X_i$ . In fact, let  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in \text{Gr}(T_i)$  and  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \rightarrow (x, y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x^i, y) &\geq \overline{\lim}_\alpha f_i(x_\alpha^i, y_\alpha) \geq \overline{\lim}_\alpha \varphi_i(x_\alpha^i) \\ &\geq \underline{\lim}_\alpha \varphi_i(x_\alpha^i) \geq \varphi_i(x^i) \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\text{Gr}(A_i)$  is closed in  $X^i \times X_i$ ,  $y_\alpha \in A_i(x_\alpha^i)$  implies  $y \in A_i(x^i)$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in \text{Gr}(T_i)$ . Therefore,  $T_i$  is u.s.c. with convex values  $T_i(x) = M(x^i)$  by (3). Now we apply Theorem 5. Then there exists an  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$  for all  $i \in I$ ; that is,  $\hat{x}_i \in A_i(\hat{x}^i)$  and  $f_i(\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_i) \geq \varphi_i(\hat{x}^i)$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

From Theorem 6, we obtain a saddle point theorem and a minimax theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $X, Y$  be two compact convex c.t.b. subsets, each in a t.v.s., and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}$  a continuous function. Suppose that for each  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ , the sets

$$\{x \in X : f(x, y_0) = \max_{\zeta \in X} f(\zeta, y_0)\}$$

and

$$\{y \in Y : f(x_0, y) = \min_{\eta \in Y} f(x_0, \eta)\}$$

are convex. then  $f$  has a saddle point  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ ; that is,

$$\min_{\eta \in Y} f(x_0, \eta) = f(x_0, y_0) = \max_{\zeta \in X} f(\zeta, y_0).$$

*Proof.* Note that a saddle point is a particular case of an equilibrium point for two agents ( $n = 2$ ) in Theorem 2 for  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $X_1 = X$ ,  $X_2 = Y$ ,  $A_1(a^1) = X$ ,  $A_2(a^2) = Y$ ,  $f_1(a) = g_1(a) = f(x, y)$ ,  $f_2(a) = g_2(a) = -f(x, y)$ . Note that condition (2) holds by Berge's theorem [B, Theorem VI.3.2].  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 7, we have the minimax inequality

$$\max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 7, we have a saddle point  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$  such that

$$\max_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) = f(x_0, y_0) = \min_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y).$$

Since  $x \mapsto \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$  and  $y \mapsto \max_{x \in X} f(x, y)$  are continuous by Berge's theorem and  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact, they attain maximum on  $X$  and minimum on  $Y$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y) &\leq \max_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) = f(x_0, y_0) \\ &= \min_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) \leq \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we clearly have

$$\min_y \max_x f(x, y) \geq \max_x \min_y f(x, y).$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion.  $\square$

From Theorem 6, we have the following generalization of the Nash equilibrium theorem:



**Theorem 9.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of compact convex sets, each in a t.v.s.  $E_i$  and for each  $i$ ,  $f_i : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}$  a continuous function such that

(0) for each  $x^i \in X^i$  and each  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}$ , the set

$$\{x_i \in X_i : f_i(x^i, x_i) \geq \alpha\}$$

is empty or convex.

If  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  is a c.t.b. subset of  $E = \prod_{i \in I} E_i$ , then there exists a point  $\hat{a} \in X$  such that

$$f_i(\hat{a}) = \max_{y_i \in X_i} f_i(\hat{a}^i, y_i) \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 6 with  $f_i = g_i$  and  $A_i : X^i \rightarrow X_i$  defined by  $A_i(x^i) = X_i$  for  $x^i \in X^i$ . Then condition (2) of Theorem 6 follows from Berge's theorem, and the set in condition (3) is nonempty and convex by (0). Therefore, we have the conclusion.  $\square$

Finally, note that Theorems 6-8 generalize corresponding results of von Neumann, Kakutani, Nash, and von Neumann and Morgenstern; for the literature, see Debreu [D].

#### REFERENCES

- [B1] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and A. Idzik, *A Leray-Schauder type theorem for approximable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 105–109. MR 94k:54074.
- [B] C. Berge, *Espaces Topologiques*, Dunod, Paris, 1959.
- [D] G. Debreu, *A social equilibrium existence theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **38** (1952), 886–893 [= Chap. 2, *Mathematical Economics: Twenty Papers of Gerald Debreu*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983].
- [H] O. Hadžić, *Fixed point theorems in not necessarily locally convex topological vector spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., **948**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, pp.118–130.
- [I] A. Idzik, *Almost fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 779–784.
- [P1] S. Park, *Generalized Leray-Schauder principles for compact admissible multifunctions*, Topol. Meth. in Nonlinear Analysis **5** (1995), 271–277.
- [P2] ———, *Fixed points of approximable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 3109–3114.
- [PP] S. Park and J. A. Park, *The Idzik type quasivariational inequalities and noncompact optimization problems*, Colloq. Math. **71** (1996), 287–295.
- [W] H. Weber, *Compact convex sets in non-locally convex linear spaces, Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem*, in: Topology, Measure and Fractals (Warnemünde, 1991), ed. C. Bandt et al., Math. Res. **66**, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, 37–40.