

ON THE BROWDER TYPE BEST APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

MING-PO CHEN* **
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ACADEMIA SINICA
NANKANG, TAIPEI 11529, TAIWAN
E-MAIL: MAAPO@CCVAX.SINICA.EDU.TW

SEHIE PARK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
SEOUL 151-742, KOREA

ABSTRACT. Best approximation theorems extending Browder's sharpened form of the Schauder fixed point theorem are obtained. Our new results extend and unify many known theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Sehgal and Singh [6] obtained a theorem on the best approximation of a continuous function with respect to a generalized affine type map. This result provides extensions of some well-known fixed point theorems due to Browder [1] and others. Note that their method is based on the KKM principle.

On the other hand, there have appeared some best approximation or fixed point theorems for maps whose domains and ranges have different topologies. For the literature, see [2]. Motivated by those new results, the second author [5] obtained general theorems unifying known Fan or Prolla type best approximation theorems and the existence of fixed or coincidence points.

The aim in this paper is to unify the main results of [5] and [6]. Consequently, we obtain very general types of best approximation theorems which can be applied to fixed point theorems of the above-mentioned types. Our basic tool is the Allen type variational inequality due to the second author [4], which

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Convex space, inward set, almost p -quasiconvex map, topological vector space, seminormed space.

us our arguments much shorter than [5]. Finally, we add a coincidence theorem and some remarks on the recent work of Ding and Tarafdar [3].

2. PRELIMINARIES

A convex space X is a nonempty convex set with any topology that induces the Euclidean topology on the convex hulls of its finite subsets. For such spaces, we have the following version of the Allen type variational inequality due to the second author [4, Theorem 0]:

Theorem 0. *Let X be a convex space, $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a real function, and K a nonempty compact subset of X . Suppose that*

$$(0.1) \quad \psi(x, x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X;$$

$$(0.2) \quad \text{for each } y \in X, \{x \in X : \psi(x, y) > 0\} \text{ is compactly open;}$$

$$(0.3) \quad \text{for each } x \in X, \{y \in X : \psi(x, y) > 0\} \text{ is convex or empty; and}$$

$$(0.4) \quad \text{for each } N \in \langle X \rangle, \text{ there exists a compact convex subset } L_N \text{ of } X \text{ containing } N \\ \text{such that, for each } x \in L_N \setminus K, \text{ there exists a } y \in L_N \text{ satisfying } \psi(x, y) > 0.$$

Then there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that $\psi(x_0, y) \leq 0$ for all $y \in X$.

In Theorem 0, $\langle X \rangle$ denotes the set of all nonempty finite subsets of X .

For a subset X of a vector space E and $x \in E$, the inward set of X at x is defined by

$$I_X(x) := \{x + r(u - x) \in E : u \in X, r > 0\}.$$

Let X be a convex space, E a topological vector space, and $p : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function which is convex in the second variable. A function $g : X \rightarrow E$ is said to be almost p -quasiconvex [5] or p -affine [6] if for any $x, x_1, x_2 \in X, z \in E$, and $r \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$p[x, z - g(rx_1 + (1 - r)x_2)] \leq \max\{p(x, z - gx_i) : i = 1, 2\}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

The following Browder type best approximation theorem is our main result:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a convex space, K a nonempty compact subset of X , E a topological vector space, $p : X \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous map, and $f, g : X \rightarrow E$ continuous maps. Suppose that*

$$(1.1) \quad \text{for each } x \in X, p(x, \cdot) \text{ is a convex function on } E;$$

(1.2) g is almost p -quasiconvex; and

(1.3) for each $N \in \langle X \rangle$, there exists a compact convex subset L_N of X containing N such that, for each $x \in L_N \setminus K$, there exists a $y \in L_N$ such that

$$p(x, fx - gx) > p(x, fy - gx).$$

Then there exists an $u \in K$ such that

$$p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, fu - gu) : x \in X\}.$$

Further, if $g(X)$ is convex, then

$$p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, fu - z) : z \in ClI_{g(X)}(gu)\}.$$

Proof. Define $\psi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi(x, y) = p(x, fx - gx) - p(x, fx - gy)$$

for $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and use Theorem 0. Then

(0.1) $\psi(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$;

(0.2) for each $y \in X$, $\{x \in X : p(x, fx - gx) > p(x, fx - gy)\}$ is open since $x \mapsto \psi(x, y)$ is continuous;

(0.3) for each $x \in X$, $\{y \in X : p(x, fx - gx) > p(x, fx - gy)\}$ is convex; in fact, for any y , and y_1, y_2 in the set and $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, fx - g(ry_1 + (1-r)y_2)) &\leq \max\{p(x, fx - gy_i) : i = 1, 2\} \\ &< p(x, fx - gx) \end{aligned}$$

by (1.2); and

(0.4) clearly follows from (1.3).

Therefore, by Theorem 0, there exists a $u \in K$ such that $\psi(u, y) = p(u, fu - gu) - p(u, fu - gy) \leq 0$ for all $y \in X$; that is,

$$p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, fu - gy) : y \in X\}.$$

Further, if $g(X)$ is convex, then for any $z \in I_{g(X)}(gu) \setminus g(X)$, there exist $w \in g(X)$ and $r > 1$ such that $z = gu + r(w - gu)$. Suppose that $p(u, fu - gu) > p(u, fu - z)$. Since

$$w = \frac{1}{r}z + (1 - \frac{1}{r})gu \in g(X)$$

and p is convex (in the second variable) by (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, fu - w) &\leq \frac{1}{r}p(u, fu - z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)p(u, fu - gu) \\ &< p(u, fu - gu), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $p(u, fu - gu) \leq p(u, fu - z)$ for all $z \in I_{g(X)}(gu)$ and hence for all $z \in clI_{g(X)}(gu)$.

Since $gu \in clI_{g(X)}(gu)$, we have

$$p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, fu - z) : z \in clI_{g(X)}(gu)\}.$$

This completes our proof.

Remarks 1. 1. In certain case, the inward set in the conclusion can be replaced by the outward set [1] and [2].

2. The continuities of f, g , and p are used only to assure the compactly openness of $\{x \in X : \psi(x, u) < r\}$, and that $p(u, fu - gu) \leq p(u, fu - z)$ holds for all $z \in clI_{g(X)}(gu)$.

The following is a variation of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, let X be a subset of E . If $gu \in X$, then we have*

$$p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, fu - z) : z \in clI_X(gu)\}.$$

Proof. If $gu \in X$, then for any $z \in I_X(gu) \setminus X$, there exist $w \in X$ and $r > 1$ such that $z = gu + r(w - gu)$. Therefore, as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 1, we have $p(u, fu - gu) \leq p(u, fu - z)$ for all $z \in I_X(gu)$ and hence for all $z \in clI_X(gu)$. This completes our proof.

Remarks 1. Note that, in Theorem 2, X may not have the relative topology with respect to E .

2. Theorem 2 generalises the main result of Sehgal and Singh [6, Theorem 5], where X is a subset of a locally convex topological vector space E , and $g : X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, they adopted more coercivity condition than (1.3).

3. As in [6], Theorem 2 can be applied to obtain some general forms of known results due to Fan, B. and Sehgal-Singh-Gastl.

4. FOR SEMINORMED SPACE ONLY

Theorem 1 can be applied to seminormed vector spaces. In fact, the following is a general Prohorov best approximation theorem due to the second author [5, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3. Let X be a convex space, K a nonempty compact subset of X , (E, q) a seminormed space, where $q : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a seminorm and $f, g : X \rightarrow (E, q)$ continuous maps. Suppose that

(3.1) g is almost q -quasiconvex; and

(3.2) for each $N \in \langle X \rangle$, there exists a compact convex subset L_N of X containing N such that, for $x \in L_N \setminus K$, there exists a $y \in L_N$ satisfying

$$q(fx - gx) > q(fx - gy).$$

Then there exists a $u \in K$ such that

$$q(fu - gu) = \inf\{q(fu - gx) : x \in X\}.$$

Further if $g(X)$ is convex, then

$$q(fu - gu) = \inf\{q(fu - z) : z \in clI_{g(X)}(gu)\}.$$

In this case, $gu \in Bdg(X)$ and $fu \notin clI_{g(X)}(gu)$ if $q(fu - gu) > 0$.

Proof. Define $p : X \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $p(x, z) = q(z)$ for $(x, z) \in X \times E$. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 1. Note that if $gu \in Intg(X)$, then $I_{g(X)}(gu) = E$ and $fu \in E$, whence we have $q(fu - gu) = 0$. This completes our proof.

Remarks 1. In [5], it is shown that Theorem 3 includes earlier works of Fan, Prolla, Hadzič, Sehgal-Smithson, Sessa, Roux-Sing, Sehgal-Singh-Gastl, Carbone, Sessa-Singh, Park, and Lin, even when X is a subset of E .

2. In [15], some equivalent form of Theorem 3 and their applications to coincidence results were given.

The following is a variation of Theorem 3:

Theorem 4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3, let X be a subset of E . If $gu \in X$, then we have

$$q(fu - gu) = \inf\{q(fu - z) : z \in clI_X(gu)\}.$$

In this case, $gu \in BdX$ and $fu \notin clI_X(gu)$ if $q(fu - gu) > 0$.

Remarks 1. Note that, even when X is a subset of E , X may not have the relative topology.

2. Theorem 4 also has a lot of known particular forms as for Theorem 3.

5. COINCIDENCE THEOREMS

Let (E, τ) be a topological vector space, E^* its topological dual, w the weak topology of E , and $S(E, w)$ the family of all continuous seminorms on (E, w) .

From Theorem 3, we can deduce the following by simply modifying the proof of [5, Theorem 7]:

Theorem 5. *Let X be a convex space, K a nonempty compact subset of X , and (E, τ) a topological vector space on which E^* separates points. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow (E, w)$ be continuous maps such that*

(7.1) *g is almost q -quasiconvex for each $q \in S(E, w)$; and*

(7.2) *for each $q \in S(E, w)$ and each $N \in \langle X \rangle$, there exists a compact convex subset $L_N \setminus K$, then there is a $y \in L_N$ satisfying $q(fx - gx) > q(fx - gy)$.*

Then either

(i) *f and g have a coincidence point $u \in K$, or*

(ii) *there exist a $q \in S(E, w)$ and a $u \in K$ such that $gu \in \text{Bdg}(X)$ and*

$$0 < q(fu - gu) = \inf\{q(fu - z) : z \in g(X)\}.$$

Further if $g(X)$ is convex, the above inequality in (ii) can be replaced by

$$0 < q(fu - gu) = \inf\{q(fu - z) : z \in \text{cl}I_{g(X)}(gu)\}.$$

Remarks 1. Theorem 5 is a slightly different version of [5, Theorem 7] and a sharpened form of Ding and Tarafdar [3, Theorem 3.1].

2. From Theorem 5, we can deduce coincidence theorems like [5, Theorem 8], which generalize Theorem 3.2].

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder, *On a sharpened form of Schauder fixed point theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1977), 47-51.
2. M.-P. Chen and S. Park, *Best approximations and fixed points for multifunctions whose domains and ranges have topologies*, to appear.
3. X. P. Ding and E. Tarafdar, *Some further generalizations of Ky Fan's best approximation theorem*, J. Approx. Theory **1** (1995), 406-420.
4. Sehei Park, *Remarks on some variational inequalities*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **28** (1991), 163-174.
5. Sehei Park, *Remarks on generalizations of best approximation theorems*, Honam Math. J. **16** (1994), 27-39.
6. V. M. Sehgal and S. P. Singh, *The best approximation and an extension of a fixed point theorem of F. E. Browder*, J. Math. and Math. Sci. **18** (1995), 745-748.